1	
2	INTERVIEW OF:
3	KENNETH FISCHER
4	
5	
6	DATE TAKEN: FEBRUARY 12, 2014
7	TIME: 9:05 A.M 10:12 A.M.
8	PLACE: HOMEWOOD SUITES BY HILTON 154 BILL FRANCE BOULEVARD
9	DAYTONA BEACH, FLORIDA 32114
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THEREUPON,

1.3

2 KENNETH FISCHER,

was called as a witness and, having first been
duly sworn, testified as follows:

BY MR. KANEY:

Q. Mr. Fischer, we're here on an investigation that the county council has called for into what's — what they call the Waverly matter, which is the issue of political contributions by the Waverly Company, which has the bus bench contract with the county. And they deputized me, you might call it, to be special counsel to take — to do this investigation. And it is — this is an interview, not a deposition or not trial testimony. I'm interested in knowing what you know and what you suspect, or what your intuition is, your inferences. And hearsay is not an issue here. So. She wants me to tell you not to nod your head when you answer like you just did.

It's going to be hard for me to call you Mr. Fischer, because you're Ken. I've always known you as Ken.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

23 BY MR. KANEY:

Q. When did you -- when did you first start working with the county in the Votran operation?

1 A. 1981.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. 1981. And what were you doing at that time?
  - A. I was the general manager for Votran.
  - Q. And that was as an employee of the county?
- A. That was as an employee of McDonald Transit.
  - Q. Always it's been McDonald Transit?
  - A. Always been McDonald Transit.
  - Q. So McDonald Transit has a contract to manage the Votran operation?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you started, was that about the time that Votran got started?
  - A. Votran got started in 1975.
  - Q. Okay. In 1981 you were general manager of Votran working under a contract that McDonald Transit has with the county?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Did -- were there bus benches available at bus stops at that time?
      - A. I think the bench contract that we first got into, I can't remember the name of the bus the bench company at that time, happened maybe three or four years after 1981. I may be mistaken. I think

the county gave an outline of the bench contract, but if I remember correctly, it happened not long after I took over as general manager.

- Q. And you don't remember the name of that first contract?
- A. I remember the name of the gentleman. Ted Micker was the gentleman that owned the company. I believe it was Associated Marketing.
- Q. When the -- when the program of putting the benches out there began, was that -- did that include the advertising on the benches?
  - A. Yes.

1.3

2.2

- Q. What are the benefits to the county of allowing the advertising on the benches?
- A. When I first got involved in it, the big advantage to the county was the bench company provides the bench and maintains the bench, and, therefore, we don't have to invest resources in purchasing capital equipment in the way of benches and we don't have the responsibility to maintain the benches.
  - Q. Um-hum.
- A. So for most of the time I was the general manager at Votran, Votran simply placed the bus stop in the different municipalities. And in the

unincorporated area, in the case of the county, would either provide the bench or do it through a company that provided a bench with advertising on it.

- Q. And our county deal was with advertising from the beginning?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How -- that started, you would say, somewhere in '85 or '86, somewhere around there?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Was that contract ever rebid during your --
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. -- term?
  - Did it expire on its terms?
- A. If I recall correctly, there was a provision that it could be renewed with mutual agreement of both parties.
  - Q. And so during the time you were there, it rolled over each time on mutual consent?
  - A. Yes. In about 2005, we began the attempt to issue an RFP.
  - Q. Okay. That's my next question. How did that begin?
  - A. I believe I issued a letter to the company, and it went from Associated Marketing, then to

    Maverick, I believe, and we issued a letter saying we

were intending to rebid the contract for benches with advertising.

Q. What -- go ahead.

1.3

- A. And at that point we found out, we didn't know this, that staff had changed the sign ordinance to prohibit, essentially, benches with advertising, in the right-of-way. Now, we had this ongoing contract, and then we found out that, well, that may not work.
  - Q. How was that handled?
- A. Well, we talk -- as I recall, we talked to the management staff, and --
  - Q. That's the county?
- A. County management staff. And it didn't seem to be a priority to get it rebid. And what was what needed to happen is if we were going to rebid it, they had to go back and amend the sign ordinance to allow for the provision of benches with advertising.
  - Q. Was that ever done?
- A. Yes. What happened -- well, what happened in 2009, Lois Bollenback, who took over as the general manager, went to county council with a recommended amenities program to make improvements at the bus stop. At that point in time, the management

staff, since the ordinance did not allow for benches with advertising, recommended -- we recommended to council to go ahead and start providing benches without advertising. That was the recommendation that was made to council back in 2009. The council did not agree with that recommendation, and instructed the county manager and the legal staff to amend the sign ordinance to allow for benches with advertising, and then we were to reissue the RFP.

Well, I shouldn't say reissue.

Q. Continue?

1.3

- A. Continue the effort to get the RFP out.
- Q. Were you aware of the discussion when Lois Bollenback presented that recommendation to the county?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What was the discussion among the members of the council regarding the signs?
- A. Well, in regards to advertising on the benches and the buses, the council never really had a strong consensus for advertising on buses or advertising on benches. There were always a group of two to three council members that didn't like it, and a group of about three to four that did like it, so we could you know, we never had that strong

consensus on the advertising. As I remember the discussion with the council, Frank Bruno was a chairman at the time, he felt strongly that we should have the benches with advertising. And I think the vote was five to two in favor of the amending the sign ordinance and issuing an RFP for benches with advertising.

- Q. Okay. Then what happened?
- A. We -- I think it took the legal staff maybe six months. They amended the sign ordinance to allow for the benches -- to allow for benches with advertising and we drafted -- Votran staff drafted an RFP for benches with advertising. And at that time, FDOT got involved and was recommending that if a bench was at a bus stop, that that bus stop had to be in compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act. So our -- at that point, we had a draft RFP ready, but that draft RFP did not address the ADA issue.
  - Q. Yeah.

1.3

A. And so I met with our staff. At that point I took back over as general manager. Lois Bollenback had resigned. And I met with our staff and we started going through the process of trying to understand what FDOT was recommending.

- Q. Okay. How did that go?
- A. Well, I brought some notes for that.
- Q. Okay.

1.3

A. It was too hard to get them out of the binder, so that's why I left them out of the binder. The initial — the initial discussion with FDOT is we were going to have to put a landing pad for wheelchairs at every bus stop. Well, that really starts changing our way of doing business. If you recall earlier in our discussion, I basically said we planted the bus stops, and after that the street furniture associated with that bus stop was handled by the municipality and the county. If we're going to have to lay concrete throughout the county, that was going to be a bigger issue.

So we started analyzing bus stops to figure out what -- what was in -- what was ADA compliant and what was not ADA compliant. And this bus would have been considered compliant because there is a pad, and this bus -- after we talked to FDOT, they said this bus would be compliant as well, even though it doesn't have a pad, because we have para-transit service. We have the ADA para-transit service that, basically, says if a person can't utilize the regular bus service, then they qualify for the ADA service.

So to make us put --

1.3

- Q. So somebody comes and picks them up?
- A. Somebody picks them up. So FDOT said, well, you don't have to invest in this infrastructure. But that's what we were trying to figure out is, you know, you have all of these different conditions at bus stops, what is in compliance with ADA and what is not. An example, this one's in compliance because it has the landing pad and then it has the sidewalks. This would not be in compliance because it's missing the landing pad.

But we went through that type of discussion to figure out how — and this one starts getting into if you have a bench and a trash receptacle, you know, the designs on what has to be incorporated into that bus stop. So what happened, it got real complicated very quickly on what were the requirements — what were the requirements for the concrete pad at each bus stop. And, again, since we were issuing an RFP that dealt with benches, FDOT said they have to be ADA compliant and you've got to make sure the vendor provides — make sure they're in compliance with the ADA law.

Q. Okay. Let me just say for the record, what Ken is showing me here are drawings of potential

layouts for bus stops with landing pads to illustrate the different variations and the complexity. I don't see a need to put that in the record.

- A. And just to demonstrate what happened is and this is what Waverly did. Waverly started doing adding concrete pads for the benches, but there is no concrete landing pad. So when we're as we were going through this and we're watching Waverly do their work, they weren't doing it correctly. So that, you know again, we kept saying, okay, what is the correct way of doing this. And finally we hired a consultant to provide a design guideline that we could attach to the RFP.
  - Q. Okay.

1.3

- A. I can -- there's a bench of pictures there. But what Waverly ended up doing -- well, even FDOT. This is out on 92 near Red John Road.
  - Q. I've seen that one just yesterday.
- A. Well, they built that, and I scratched my head and said, what are they doing? And then they admitted they overdesigned this one, you know, because this bus stop doesn't get much usage, but the investment in -- it's a beautiful bus stop.
- Q. And every now and then there's a potential passenger there who looks very lonely.

A. And so that's what we were dealing with in
terms of trying to figure out obviously we didn't
want to do the investment that FDOT made, and we
didn't want to do what Waverly was doing, because
that wasn't in compliance. So we ended up hiring
Tindal-Oliver, a consulting firm, to help us develop
a design guideline for bus stops, which they
completed let me look at my notes. I think they
completed somewhere in 2011, 2012 time frame.

- Q. And what did you do with the guidelines?
- A. We attached the guidelines to the RFP, and I believe they have now been issued. That RFP has been issued.
  - Q. But Waverly is still --
- A. The -- I believe the county issued notice to Waverly and they're terminating the contract. So my understanding is Waverly is no longer under contract.
- Q. Now, the guidelines -- let's make sure I get the timeline. It was 2009 that Lois Bollenback took that question to the council.

Then following that, still within 2009 or

A. Yes.

Q. And got the five to two vote.

25 '10, Votran drafted the RFP.

A. Yes.

- Q. Then you heard from FDOT that you had to comply with the ADA, American Disabilities Act.
  - A. Yep.
- Q. At what point -- at what point do you show that FDOT gave you that edict that you had to do that?
- A. We're showing February of 2010 that FDOT establishes ADA guidelines and sends to municipalities or vendors which are handling bus stop placement.
- Q. All right. So when you got that, then you had to go back to the drawing board with the RFP after you figured out what it would take to comply?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Which was a good bit of study?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Ultimately, as any good government agency would do, you hired a consultant.
- A. Well, you're an attorney. We had done all this work ourselves. We gave it to the legal department, and the legal department says, well, we think you need to hire a consultant to make sure in case we get sued that we have somebody to point to.
  - Q. I stand corrected.

So that -- and that process is following along after the February, 2010 announcement from FDOT?

A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. And somewhere that you hired Tindal-Oliver would have been later in 2010, do you think?
- A. I'm trying to -- we're showing -- I'm showing 2012 Votran issues task order assignment to Tindle Oliver to create document titled Votran Technical Design Standards.
- Q. Were -- were any members of the council involved in the process of creating the RFP or modifying it?
  - A. Josh Wagner asked to review the RFP.
  - Q. How did he communicate that request?
- A. I'm not sure how the request came to me. I have a -- this binder is my meetings with the manager's office on a monthly basis. I noticed I went back and pulled my monthly meeting notes, and in May 26th of 2010, it talks about street side amenities. And on a draft RFP for benches, I've got a note that Josh Wagner has asked to review it. I can't recall -- I don't have any e-mail that indicates that he did it through e-mail, so I'm assuming it was verbally, either through a phone call

or a conversation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you think your notes reflect something that was communicated to you during your meeting with the manager?
  - A. I'm not sure what you're saying.
- Q. Well, if you don't have an e-mail from

  Josh, but your notes say Josh had asked to review it,

  when do you think you got that information? Would it

  be during a meeting with --
- A. No, it would have been prior. The note I have here -- the reason I have it in my notes is to let the managers know -- manager's office know there was a request made, and make sure they were okay with me talking to Josh about it.
- Q. So you would then -- as you recall it, the contact from Josh came directly to you, not through the manager?
- A. As far as I can tell, it came directly to me.
  - Q. Did you ever ask him to do that?
  - A. Did I ask him to --
  - Q. Yeah. Did you recruit or request --
- A. Did I initiate the request?
- 24 O. Yes.
- A. No, I don't believe I initiated that

request.

1.3

- Q. Did you discuss that matter with him?
- A. Yes. I have an e-mail that -- where I asked him, do you have any comments on the draft RFP that we provided you.
- Q. Now, that e-mail would have -- if you didn't initiate it, that e-mail would not have been the first communication on that?
- A. No. I'm showing May 26th, 2010, as the meeting with the manager's office to discuss Votran items. I'm showing the e-mail that I just mentioned to you as September of 2010.
- Q. So on the 26th when you discussed this with the manager, obviously he had no objection?
- A. And it was the assistant county manager,

  Dave Byron, that I discussed it with, just to -- just
  to clarify. That's -- that's the manager -- or
  assistant county manager that I reported to within
  the county structure.
  - Q. And Dave Byron had no objection to --
- A. Yeah, I -- I have a note next to my -- a written note saying, okay. So --
- Q. All right. And then -- so then, apparently, then, as far as you recall, the next communication was when you sent the e-mail to Josh

asking for his comments.

A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Would that have been as a follow-up to the discussion with Dave Byron?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And we still don't remember how you came to know Josh wanted to do that?
- A. Yeah. I can't -- again, I'm assuming it was a verbal or phone conversation. That adds an assumption on my part. I have nothing in my records that indicate any formal -- formal request.
  - Q. Okay. And then did Josh have comments?
  - A. Yes. He provided me with comments.
  - O. Did he do that in an e-mail?
  - A. He did that in an e-mail.
- Q. Obviously, I've got a copy of that e-mail.

  Did -- did you take any action, based on his

  comments?
- A. We incorporated some of his -- I have an e-mail, an internal e-mail, with our assistant general manager for operations and maintenance, that I don't know if we ever provided it to Josh, but she took the comments -- there were some -- some of the comments were incorporated and some of them were not.
  - Q. Have you got that e-mail here?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

- Q. So this is a -- this is a draft response that --
- A. It would be from Liz Suchsland, the assistant general manager of operations and maintenance.
  - O. Liz Suchsland?
  - A. She was the --
  - O. Can I have this?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you mark this?
- 12 (Exhibit 1 was marked.)
- 13 A. She was the staff member that I assigned to
  14 manage the RFP process.
- 15 BY MR. KANEY:
  - Q. Now, what we're marking here is -- is a set of e-mails that show Josh Wagner communicating with Ken Fischer, and then Ken communicating with Liz --
- 19 A. Suchsland.
- Q. -- Suchsland. And then her response -- the
  draft response here on these last two pages would be
  her comments on Wagner's comments?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And what, then, was done with these
- 25 comments?

- 1 Α. The ones that we felt were good comments 2 and helpful to the RFP were incorporated. 3 that we did not agree with, we did not incorporate 4 in. And as a general -- as a generalization, 0.
  - what proportion of his comments were incorporated?
  - Α. Let me see. The first one was, number one, we did not incorporate specifying a minimum number of spots. The -- Liz recommended due to the graphical nature, a minimum number of spots was not recommended, so we did not incorporate that. percent seems high, and this was the availability of public advertisement on bus stops.
    - And Josh said 10 percent was high?
  - Α. He said, 10 percent seems high. A minimum of five percent. We incorporated that one in. Obviously minimizing the amount of public advertisement did not seem to have a negative impact to the county, so we agreed to incorporate that one in.
  - Would it be true that minimizing the amount of public advertising would allow the operator -- the vendor of the sign program more opportunity for revenue?
  - Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So that would be in favor of the potential vendor?

- A. And the county.
- Q. And the county, yes. Because the county had a piece of that?
  - A. Right.

1.3

- Q. Okay.
- A. (Witness is reading from a document, and very difficult to understand.)

But in regards to no interest in utilizing for this, leaves it pretty open. Can we put a max on this. For location of the contractors no interest in utilizing advertising. Volusia County shall have the right to purchase up to 25 additional benches of the contractor's cost.

So we did put in a number of buses — benches that we could purchase, which we set at 25. He did not include a number, but we went ahead and included a number.

I have concerns about requiring trash collection. Do we have this anywhere right now. The information on financial capability -- let me -- I have concerns about requiring this because it will then become public record. Also, the trash collection aspect, do we have this anywhere right

The response was the information on financial now. capabilities. His comment was about becoming public Will review. You know, that would -- the decision on the financial capabilities would be purchasing. We would not comment on that. just review that section with purchasing. And then on the trash collection, some municipalities are contracted with another company that provides trash collection. So we did not incorporate any of his concerns there. Page 7, we should change approved equal to minimum. My hope is that a few local companies will make much better benches. I think --I don't think we incorporated that. We just stated what we did is replaced it with an example of what we considered a minimum bench.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then there was language. Can we lessen this language a bit. My concern is that some areas for the benches will be impossible to fit this language. Would be illegal permissible for us to lessen the requirement. Also, would we — should we possibly bump up the 10 percent recycle materials to 25 percent? And, again, what we emphasized on the language that he was looking to lessen was, we believe, in regards to the ADA that we were struggling with, so we did not make any changes

there. We did increase the recycled materials from 10 percent to 25 percent.

1.3

The next question was, did we require permits for benches, if not, could we probably (tape) be out. Liz responded as, My understanding Volusia County will require permit for benches in cases where concrete is required. Which we knew that was going to be one of the big issues, so we did not concur with his comment there. Can they just have their website for full contact information? We did revise the language to allow for their website to be used for full contact information.

- Q. Obviously you're personally acquainted with Josh. When did you first become acquainted with Josh?
- A. When he first became a county council member.
- Q. And how -- how did he first contact you?

  How did that --
- A. I cannot recall the first conversation that I had with him. I don't think it was about the bench issue. I think he had some questions on some other issues, but I cannot recall what those issues are. But I'm pretty sure it wasn't the bench issue that was the first contact that I had with him?

Q. As time progressed, was there -- were there occasions when he showed interest in the bus -- in the bus benches?

1.3

- A. After this -- this contact with him, did he come back and ask questions about what we incorporated? Did he -- did we have any further conversations? No.
- Q. Before that e-mail, what -- what interest had he expressed in the benches?
- A. As I recall -- and, again, I'm trying to recall that contact before the request for the review of the RFP. I think -- I think there were some discussions about the bus bench company. I became aware that there was a relationship between him and the owners of the bus bench company, and so he had an interest in taking a look at the RFP.
- Q. Did he tell you that he had the relationship?
  - A. Yeah, I think so.
- Q. Has any other -- did any other member of the county council express interest in the RFP as you were developing it?
  - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Assuming that Josh was trying to make the RFP a happier thing for his friends at Waverly, did

his suggestions track to that -- to an assumed purpose like that?

1.3

- A. There probably were a couple suggestions in there that would be helpful to them, but I don't think the overall tenor of his recommendations were that way. But there were a couple of them in there that I think would be beneficial.
- Q. The level of detail of his comments, on a scale where policy is at the top end and the merest of administrative details is the bottom end, where would you rate those recommendations, from a legislator?
- A. I think they start getting into the -- more of the detail rather than the policy.
- Q. You said -- you said that none of the other council members showed any -- showed that kind of interest in the RFP while it was in progress. Did you ever have similar contacts with council members regarding any other aspect of your operation of Votran?
  - A. From time to time, yes.
- Q. Would those times have included when Big John was in office?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Other than Big John and Josh?

A. Frank Bruno had a great deal of interest.

1.3

- Q. What was the nature of Frank's interest?
- A. I think most of Frank's was more on the policy side. He served on our -- on the local coordinating transportation board for the disadvantaged, so he always had an active interest in the operation.
- Q. Following that original discussion with Dave Byron, did you discuss the matter of Josh's e-mail comments with county management?
- A. I don't -- I don't remember going back and discussing with the county manager's office those comments. I believe Ms. Suchsland and I reviewed those comments, felt that the way we responded was not detrimental to the county, so we didn't need to go any further.
- Q. Can I have this back? There's a sentence I want to look at.

Josh begins his e-mail of September 2,

2010: Thanks, Ken. I have a couple of suggestions.

Paren. I do not, at any point, want you to think I am requiring or expecting you to make these changes.

Close paren. Did that strike you as an unusual comment?

A. I think he was aware that people knew there

was a relationship between him and the bench company, so I think he was trying to make it clear that he -- you know, take these comments for what they're worth and you decide whether or not to incorporate them.

1.3

- Q. Did you feel free to disregard any and everything that he said?
- A. Well, I never feel when a councilman comments that I can disregard everything. I think, at the time, I probably didn't realize what pressure was being put on me to read through these and make a determination of what was appropriate and what was not. You know, at that point in my career, I hadn't dealt with councilman for that many years. I just accepted it, took a look at it. I believe I made the decision that I don't think it detrimentally had an impact on the county, and incorporated what I felt was appropriate and move on.
- Q. Well, you used the word "pressure." Did you feel there was pressure there of any degree?
  - A. At the time, no.
  - Q. In retrospect?
- A. In retrospect, I probably should have stopped and gone through it much more thoroughly.
- Q. Did you take that -- that statement: I do not, at any point, want you to think I am requiring

or expecting you to make these changes, did that imply to you that it would be appropriate for you to interpret his remarks in that way?

A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Nevermind what I'm saying, watch my hand.

  Okay. After you digested these comments and revised the RFP, what was the next step?
- A. Well, remember, that was 2010, so we were still mired in the ADA. And I know since then that RFP has been revised several times, and so I -- I don't think I can tell you today if -- if those comments are still in there. I think we drafted the RFP, we incorporated those comments we felt were appropriate, but because we got involved in the -- in the ADA issue, I know there's been several more revisions of that RFP.
- Q. And relative to that time of that work on the RFP, when did you leave the county and go to work for the parent company?
  - A. I left the county, again, in May of 2012.
- Q. So as of that time, there had been no further input from Josh regarding the RFP?
  - A. No.
  - Q. And Lois Bollenback came back and --
  - A. No. We have new manager. Steve Sherrer is

the new general manager.

1.3

- O. When did he start?
- A. It was right in that May time frame.
- Q. So he would be the one that succeeded you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, presumably, any further developments along this line would be something that Steve Sherrer could talk about?
- A. Yes. And I talked with him. So I can tell you I've talked with him about this, and I don't know of any further comment that Josh had with him. He would have indicated that to me. So I'm -- I'm not aware of any.
- Q. Ken, are you following the story of the political advertisements?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What is your impression? What do you know or think you know about that story?
- A. The best I can make out is it appears that Waverly provided in-kind advertising in the way of political advertising to candidates, but the recording of those in-kind that in-kind political advertising was not done properly. Was not done or was not done properly by Waverly.
  - Q. The processing of administering the

contract with the revenues, how was that handled?

1.3

- A. That was handled by the finance officer for Votran, and for years we -- we simply received checks from the company.
- Q. Was there any process to audit those checks?
- A. No. I can tell you for the many years that I was there, most of the time the revenue on an annual basis was less than a thousand dollars.
- Q. Did that seem right to you, such a paltry sum?
- A. Because we're only dealing with a hundred bus stops in the unincorporated areas of the county, no. Or I wouldn't expect that much revenue from those bus stops.
  - Q. Are you acquainted with Jimmy Sotolongo?
  - A. I've never met the gentleman, no.
  - Q. Are you acquainted with Ramara Garrett?
  - A. I've met -- I've met her, yes.
- Q. How did you meet her? What was the occasion?
- A. The -- I think there was one occasion where we met to discuss the upcoming RFP, to get their comments, but I believe I met her once before when they took over the company.

Q. Did she have comments on the RFP?

1.3

- A. Yeah, they had they had some comments on the RFP. Most of the comments were regarding the term of the RFP. I believe we were starting out with a five-year term. There were some concerns, since you're investing in capital infrastructure such as a benches, that there should be a 10-year term on the contract in order for them to recover their capital investment. Then there was some comment on the type of benches. There was just a back back and forth discussion on what what would be an appropriate or minimum bench.
- Q. How did you respond to the comment about the term of the contract?
- A. I think in the revision contract, we did make it a longer term contract, a 10-year contract. We did receive the same comment from another company that had some interest on proposing, and they were making a recommendation of 10 years as well.
- Q. Is -- do you believe there is a substantial prospect for revenue for the operator of the bus bench franchise?
- A. In the -- if you're just dealing with the unincorporated area, I don't believe that's the case. If you're dealing with the entire county and all of

the contracts within the county, there's that opportunity.

1.3

- Q. Do you have any idea why Waverly would be so interested in the RFP by the county?
- A. I think the interest in the county RFP, recognizing that we were we were looking at the ADA reqs and trying to develop a good RFP, was that it I think Waverly was seeing it as becoming the template for the rest of the county in terms of the next time the municipalities went out to bid.
- Q. In your career, you've had dealings with vendors for county services. Have you ever had an experience where a vendor showed so much interest in the election of the governing board of the county?
  - A. No, I can't say that I have.
- Q. Even though that may -- that contract may have become a template, do you think -- can you imagine that there might be a broader purpose for the -- their political interest in the candidates?
- A. Well, I was having a hard time making that connection with a bench contract with a hundred stops, that that be such a critical piece. So, no, you know -- again, if you go back to my original thinking, for me, the bench -- the advantage of the bench contract is the provision of the benches and

maintaining the benches. I -- I have to be honest with you, you know, the revenue and anything else, I thought the revenue was just an enhancement on that. But, you know, for us, the win was getting the benches provided and the maintenance provided.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If someone were to look at that story and line up what you've said, would it be reasonable to conclude that there must have been a broader agenda behind the political activity?
- Yes. I -- you know, I have to admit, when Α. I saw the political ads popping up on the benches -and, again, ours is unincorporated areas. Most of the ads that I did see were in the incorporated areas That was the first time I had seen so many political ads on benches. And it -- and, again, we've always seemed to treat the bench contract a little bit differently than the advertising on the buses. With the advertising on the buses, we've never had any political advertising. We've always kept that off the buses. But, again, on the unincorporated, I -- again, being a limited number of bus stops, I just never thought we needed to be -you know, have that much control on it. I suggested to Mr. Sherrer that we may want to make that part of the bus advertising contract as an easier way to

control it.

- Q. How would that work?
- A. The company that holds the advertising for the buses would also be responsible for the advertising for those benches in the unincorporated area.
- Q. Are the buses more revenue productive than the benches?
  - A. Oh, yeah.
  - Q. Real money there?
  - A. Three-hundred-thousand a year.
- Q. Wow.
  - A. So when you asked me about the value of the bench contract, I know about the value of the bus contract, and we've worked that value up to where it's over \$300,000 a year now.
    - Q. Okay. What am I forgetting?
    - A. I think that covers it.
  - Q. Let me see if -- would this be helpful as part of the record for the county?
  - A. That's the county's chronology that they built. Now, that's a draft, so I don't know if they provided you with a final, or if you've seen that.
  - Q. Well, I think I have, and that's part of the reason why I was a little bit late getting here

this morning, although I got here as soon as you did.

- A. Thanks for reminding me.
- Q. I couldn't find it in my file. Can we mark this and put it in?
  - A. Sure.

(Exhibit 2 was marked.)

## BY MR. KANEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

- Q. Ken, this is -- Number 2 is the draft, working draft of the timeline of the relevant events in the bus contract -- bench contract.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. We'll have that in.
- A. This is one that I put together -- these are the meetings with the manager's office. And I tried to go back in and list all the ones that dealt with bus bench advertising. And then street sign amenities we were changing -- we're trying to develop a program county-wide on street sign amenities that included the bench contract. And then I highlighted when different things came up regarding the benches or the bus stops.
  - Q. To kind of supplement the timeline?
- 23 A. Supplement the timeline.
  - Q. Okay. Let's mark this, and -- this is
    Monthly Assistant County Manager Meetings.

## 38 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 COUNTY OF VOLUSIA ) 4 5 I, Shannon Green, Registered Professional 6 7 Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings; 8 9 that a review of the transcript was requested, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of 10 11 my stenographic notes. 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a 13 relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any 14 15 of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with 16 the action, nor am I financially interested in this 17 action. 18 Dated this 23rd day of February, 2014. 19 20 21 22 Shannon Green Registered Professional Reporter 23

24

25

	ERRATA SHEET
	INTERVIEW OF: KENNETH FISCHER
	ERRATA
PAGE LINE	CORRECTION
<del></del>	
	ties of perjury, I declare that I have regoing document and that the facts rue.

From:

Joshua Wagner

To:

Ken Fischer

Date:

9/2/2010 10:11 AM

Subject:

Re: RFP for Benches with Advertising in Unincorporated Area

Thanks Ken, I have a couple of suggestions...(I do not at any point want you to think I am requiring or expecting you to make these changes). On a side note, I think you and your staff are doing an amazing job. Times are tough and you and your staff are really doing your part to help the County. Thank you.

Are we going to specify the specific minimum number of spots? I may have missed it in the RFP. Or, maybe it is not appropriate for this type of RFP.

Page 4 (top): 10% seems a bit high (maybe put in a range for the Contractor "minimum of 5%...)

Page 4 (bottom): In regards to "no interest in utilizing for advertising...". This leaves it pretty open. Can we put a max on this? I don't want to run any companies off with this provision.

Page 6 (9.2.4): I have concerns about requiring this (because it will then become public record). Also, the trash collection aspect...do we have this anywhere right now?

Page 7 (13.1): We should change "approved equal" to "minimum." My hope is that a few local companies will make much better benches.

Page 8 (13.1): Can we lessen this language a bit? My concern is that some of the areas for the benches will be impossible to fit this language. Would it be legally permissible for us to lessen the requirement? Also, we should possibly bump up the 10% recycled materials to 25%+.

Page 9 (G.b.). Do we require permits for the benches? if not, we could probably leave line b out.

Page 10 (G.e.): Can they just have their website for "full contact information"?

Thanks, Josh

>>> Ken Fischer 09/01/10 9:54 AM >>> Mr. Wagner,

Good morning. Votran is ready to have the Purchasing Department issue the RFP for Benches with Advertising in the County's unincorporated areas. Do you have any comments on the draft RFP that we provided you?

Thanks, Ken

Ken Fischer General Manager Votran 950 Big Tree Road South Daytona, FL 32119 (386) 756-7496, ext. 4126 kfischer@co.volusia.fl.us

EXHIBIT

From:

Ken Fischer

To: Date: Elizabeth Suchsland

9/6/2010 8:58 AM

Subject:

Re: Reminder Bus Bench Advertising

Liz,

Did you get my email with Councilman Wagner's comments?

Ken

>>> Elizabeth Suchsland 09/03/10 11:59 PM >>> You requested a reminder for the RFP for Bus Bench Advertising.

Thank you.

Elizabeth

Elizabeth Suchsland Assistant General Manager of Operations and Maintenance VOTRAN 950 Big Tree Road South Daytona, FL 32119 Telephone: 386-763-3727

Fax: 386-756-7487

Cell Telephone: 239-438-7222

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. This communication may also contain material protected and governed by the Health Insurance and Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail and the information it contains or if you are not the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail and the information it contains to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender of this message.

Are we going to specify the specific minimum number of spots? I may have missed it in the RFP. Or, maybe it is not appropriate for this type of RFP.

This RFP covers the unincorporated areas of Volusia County. Due to the geographical nature, a minimum number of spots are not recommended.

Page 4 (top): 10% seems a bit high (maybe put in a range for the Contractor "minimum of 5%...)

Language revised:

The Contractor must provide for a minimum of <u>five percent (5%)</u> of the benches installed to be made available to the County for advertisement of public service announcements or public art at no cost.

Page 4 (bottom): In regards to "no interest in utilizing for advertising...". This leaves it pretty open. Can we put a max on this? I don't want to run any companies off with this provision.

Language revised:

For locations, the contractor has no interest in utilizing for advertising, Votran / Volusia County shall have the right-to <u>purchase up-to-twenty-five (25) additional benches</u> at the contractor's cost.

Page 6 (9.2.4): I have concerns about requiring this (because it will then become public record). Also, the trash collection aspect...do we have this anywhere right now?

The information on financial capabilities may be a Purchasing Department requirement. We will review this section with Purchasing. Some municipalities are contracted with 20/20 Media. 20/20 Media provides trash collection.

Page 7 (13.1): We should change "approved equal" to "minimum." My hope is that a few local companies will make much better benches.

Language revised:

Bus bench with advertising panels will be located throughout the service area, adjacent to Votran routes, where agreed upon between the successful proponent and Votran. The bench design / specification as agreed upon by Votran and the contractor shall be installed and maintained by the contractor. Proponent must submit bus bench specifications to be considered as meeting minimum specifications. Approved bus bench is Belson Outdoors Model #PB6-CPA or a model that meets the minimum specifications. Belson Outdoors Model #PB6-CPA information is provided in Attachment 1 (one). All proposed bus benches must be submitted to Votran for approval as meeting minimum specifications. No bus bench shall be installed without Votran's approval on the bus bench specifications.

Page 8 (13.1): Can we lessen this language a bit? My concern is that some of the areas for the benches will be impossible to fit this language. Would it be legally permissible for us to lessen the requirement? Also, we should possibly bump up the 10% recycled materials to 25%+.

The Florida Department of Transportation recommended the language concerning MOT, and FDOT regulations.

### Language revised:

The awarded bidder shall meet or exceed with all applicable Federal Transit Administration (FTA) regulations, as indicated in the FTA Master Agreement and Best Practices Procurement Manual, Florida Statutes, Chapter 427, part 1, the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Florida Department of Transportation Rule Chapter 14-20. The successful proponent shall ensure that the installation / construction meets or exceeds the Florida Department of Transportation Design Standards, including but not limited to Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) and FDOT Index 600, Index 17302, Index 700 Series. Installation / construction must meet or exceed MUTCD, Volusia County local standards / requirements, and must ensure the design is in conformance with FDOT Plan Preparation Manual (PPM) standards.

#### Language revised:

Bus bench specifications must include a minimum of 25% recycled materials.

Page 9 (G.b.): Do we require permits for the benches? If not, we could probably leave line b out.

It is my understanding Volusia County will require a permit for benches in cases where concrete is required.

Page 10 (G.e.): Can they just have their website for "full contact information"?

#### Language revised:

Notwithstanding the above, the Contractor shall fill all unsold spaces with full size, high quality posters advertising the space as available. Posters will be printed with full contact information of the Contractor and / or their website information.

Bus Bench Advertising in Volusia County – WORKING DRAFT

County Drugg

Date	Description
10/01/1979	County enters into "exclusive" bus bench advertising agreement with AMI (Associated Marketing, Inc.) for a period of five (5) years through September 30, 1984. Council approved this at the 9/13/79 Council meeting.
11/01/1992	
	County enters into a second bus bench advertising contract with AMI for a period of one (1) year through November 1993, which contract will automatically be renewed for one year unless notice of cancellation is provided in writing by either party to the other on a date sixty days before the end of the contract period.
	The agreement gives AMI the right to place benches at (i) bus stops in unincorporated Volusia County and (ii) at such other rights-of-way in the unincorporated areas of the County that are mutually acceptable to AMI and Votran. The agreement disallows placement within DBIA, County parks, or a municipality (i.e., incorporated areas).
	Rights and title to benches is vested in AMI, and AMI agrees immediately to remove any such benches from County property at the request of Votran upon termination of the Agreement unless extended by the parties hereto.
	Votran is to receive compensation in the amount of fifteen (15%) of gross revenues (not defined) collected for rental or \$500.00 per year (whichever is greater), which sum is to be paid quarterly or semi-annually. AMI agrees to maintain accurate records open to inspection by Votran to verify gross revenues and the percentage received by Votran therefrom.
	Termination without cause may demanded only by providing 180 days written notice of such termination to the other party.
06/07/2001	Council Member Pat Northey requests that "staff agenda the bus bench advertising contract."
07/05/2001	Council Member James Ward asks when the Council is going to "discuss the bus bench advertising issue."
08/16/2001	
	Agenda Item #23 proposes that the County's agreement with AMI be modified to allow benches to be placed only at Votran bus stops. Staff summary notes



	that AMI had previously placed two benches at locations that were not designated bus stops. After some discussion, Council votes for approval of the measure and to have removed the benches that are not located at bus stops.
01/23/2003	Agenda Item #10 pulled by Council Members Pat Northey and Joseph Jaynes. Item #10 seeks council approval of the following policies:
	(1) Bus stop amenities should be provided by each municipality through a contract with a vendor that results in the purchase, installation and maintenance of bus benches and shelters, that is off-set by revenue from advertising on the benches and shelters;
	(2) In municipalities that do not allow advertising on benches and shelters, Votran will assist with the capital costs of purchasing bus benches and shelters; and
	(3) In municipalities that allow advertising on benches or shelters and derive revenue from such arrangements, the municipalities should assume responsibility for maintenance of Votran benches and shelters
	Council approves the foregoing policies, and further directs staff NOT to accept advertising on shelters for bus stops in the unincorporated areas of Volusia County and to provide separate contracts for cities that want to accept advertising on shelters at bus stops.
08/07/2003	Proposed Transit Development Plan for 2003-2007 is approved. TDP refers to the establishment of a countywide policy related to the implementation of bus stop amenities, under which, Votran would continue to provide bus benches through a contract with AMI and shelters with advertising to those communities that want them. However, for those municipalities that do not allow advertising, provisions would be established that would enable them to address their own needs for amenities, including installation and maintenance.  Staff prefers utilizing advertising to offset maintenance costs of amenities while recognizing the autonomy of each jurisdiction regarding design. TDP further reiterates the result of the January 23, 2003 meeting, where Council directed staff to take no advertising on shelters located in unincorporated areas while acknowledging that contracts could be made separate from the County for those cities that wish to advertise.
08/26/2004	Updated TDP for 2003-2007 refers to the establishment of a countywide policy related to the implementation of bus stop amenities, under which, Votran would

continue to provide bus benches through a contract with AMI and shelters with advertising to those communities that want them. However, for those municipalities that do not allow advertising, provisions would be established that would enable them to address their own needs for amenities, including installation and maintenance.
The preferred policy would utilize advertising to offset the maintenance cost of the amenity, while recognizing the autonomy of each jurisdiction with regards to design.
The updated TDP omits reference to the 2003 County Council direction to take no advertising on shelters located in unincorporated areas.
Ken Fischer sends letter to Mr. Nat Gerhardt of Maverick Bench Media (successor to AMI), informing him that the County intends to issue an RFP to install and maintain bus benches in unincorporated Volusia County and notifying him that the intent of the RFP is for bus bench service to begin at least 181 days from his receipt of the notice (in accordance with the provisions of the County's existing contract with Maverick Bus Bench Media). Letter appears to be seeking termination of services.
Memo from Ms. Bollenback to Reggie Williams, Community Services Director, and Kenneth R. Fischer, General Manager for Votran. The memo details a potential conflict between County ordinances and bus bench advertising efforts and outlines the cost/benefit of Votran continuing the advertising program vs. Votran purchasing its own benches.
Annual update to TDP indicates that Votran will continue to provide benches through a contract with AMI (Maverick Bus Bench Media?) to those communities who want them. The preferred policy recognizes the autonomy of each jurisdiction regarding advertising, style selection, and maintenance of street furniture.  The updated TDP omits reference to the 2003 County Council direction to take no advertising on shelters located in unincorporated areas.
Letter addressed to Mr. Harold Gallup of Maverick Bench Media, LLC from Ms. Lois Bollenback, stating that on February 21, 2005, the County notified Nat Gerhardt of the County's intent to issue an RFP to install and maintain bus benches in unincorporated Volusia County, beginning as soon as 181 days from receipt of such notice.

Letter states that the County was unable to move forward with the RFP and requests that the parties extend their current agreement, subject to review of Maverick's liability insurance certificates.  12/01/2008  Letter from Ms. Lois Bollenback, General Manager of Votran, is sent to Ms. Michelle Jura of Waverly Media, LLC (successor to Maverick Bus Bench Media and AMI) providing notice of discontinuance of the 1992 bus bench advertising agreement, effective June 1, 2009.  06/01/2009  Effective date of termination letter sent on 12/01/2008 (180 days after).  County Council a program to facilitate installation of Streetside amenities for public transit. As part of its proposal, the County Managers office and Votran further recommends to discontinue advertising on public transit benches in the unincorporated area of the County.  During the meeting, concerns arose over the loss of bus bench advertising revenue; however, Votran noted that the County had received little more than \$1,000 from the prior year through its advertising program. Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the County.  The County Attorney noted that "the County's longstanding bench advertising policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being creeted on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts ref		
Letter from Ms. Lois Bollenback, General Manager of Votran, is sent to Ms. Michelle Jura of Waverly Media, LLC (successor to Maverick Bus Bench Media and AMI) providing notice of discontinuance of the 1992 bus bench advertising agreement, effective June 1, 2009.  06/01/2009  Effective date of termination letter sent on 12/01/2008 (180 days after).  County Managers office upon the recommendation of Votran, proposes to County Council a program to facilitate installation of streetside amenities for public transit. As part of its proposal, the County Managers office and Votran further recommends to discontinue advertising on public transit benches in the unincorporated area of the County.  During the meeting, concerns arose over the loss of bus bench advertising revenue; however, Votran noted that the County had received little more than \$1,000 from the prior year through its advertising program. Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the County.  The County Attorney noted that "the County's longstanding bench advertising policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.		Letter states that the County was unable to move forward with the RFP and requests that the parties extend their current agreement, subject to review of Maverick's liability insurance certificates.
Effective date of termination letter sent on 12/01/2008 (180 days after).  O6/04/2009  County Managers office upon the recommendation of Votran, proposes to County Council a program to facilitate installation of streetside amenities for public transit. As part of its proposal, the County Managers office and Votran further recommends to discontinue advertising on public transit benches in the unincorporated area of the County.  During the meeting, concerns arose over the loss of bus bench advertising revenue; however, Votran noted that the County had received little more than \$1,000 from the prior—year through its advertising program.—Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the County.  The County Attorney noted that "the County's longstanding bench advertising policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.	12/01/2008	Michelle Jura of Waverly Media, LLC (successor to Maverick Bus Bench Media and AMI) providing notice of discontinuance of the 1992 bus bench
County Managers office upon the recommendation of Votran, proposes to County Council a program to facilitate installation of streetside amenities for public transit. As part of its proposal, the County Managers office and Votran further recommends to discontinue advertising on public transit benches in the unincorporated area of the County.  During the meeting, concerns arose over the loss of bus bench advertising revenue; however, Votran noted that the County had received little more than \$1,000 from the prior—year through its advertising program. Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the County.  The County Attorney noted that "the County's longstanding bench advertising policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.	06/01/2009	Effective date of termination letter sent on 12/01/2008 (180 days after).
revenue; however, Votran noted that the County had received little more than \$1,000 from the prior year through its advertising program. Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the County.  The County Attorney noted that "the County's longstanding bench advertising policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.	06/04/2009	County Council a program to facilitate installation of streetside amenities for public transit. As part of its proposal, the County Managers office and Votran further recommends to discontinue advertising on public transit
policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public rights-of-way.  Council Member Pat Northey recommends approval of Votran's request. Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.		\$1,000 from the prior year through its advertising program. Votran representatives noted that many cities have now set their own bus bench advertising policies and that there were several issues with advertising in the
Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down the motion in a 2-5 vote.  Chair Frank Bruno states that if the County is going to continue with bus bench advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.		policy" needed to comply with the zoning ordinances of the county, which, at the time, prohibited signs from being erected on public property or public
advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts referring to bus benches, shelters, and advertising.		Joie Alexander seconds the motion. However, the county council voted down
02/18/2010		advertising, the sign ordinance should be amended to allow it. Motion is made and approved to amend the sign ordinance accordingly and to revisit the procurement process for the selection of a bus bench advertising firm. The streetside amenities program is further adopted with the exception of those parts
	02/18/2010	

.....

	The County's sign ordinance is amended to allow for "signs erected on public property or public rights-of-way" when such signs are placed on public transportation benches or shelters as approved through a competitive selection process of the county. The ordinance is adopted by a 6-1 vote.
02/2010- 08/2010	FDOT establishing ADA guidelines, and sending to any municipality or vendor which handles bus bench placement.
05/2010	Issues brought forward dealing with Wilbur of the Sea and placement of bus benches.
8/5/2010	Votran sends a preliminary scope of work for the bus bench advertising RFP to County Purchasing.
9/20/2010	Votran sends a formal request for RFP to County Purchasing
9/21- 10/18/2010	Votran works with County Purchasing on finalizing the scope, deliverables and schedules a pre-proposal meeting date.
9/27/2010	Attorney requested for project, assigned to Mike Dyer.
10/20/2010	Final RFP for bus bench advertising sent to Legal
1/2011	Ken Fisher held meetings with Council members with an update on street side amenities.
1/2011	
	amenities.  The RFP process was slowed due to issues and direction on the bus bench standards. Inconsistencies between "Accessing Transit – Design Handbook for Florida Bus Passenger Facilities" (Version II, 2008) and FDOT's "Statewide Transit Facility Standards, Criteria, and Guidelines" (January 2011) were such that it was impossible to distinguish between the recommended best practices and mandated requirements at bus passenger facilities. These inconsistencies caused FDOT to reevaluate their standards and consider updating their guideline document. Additionally, questions remained unresolved regarding treatment of Orange City's designated planning area (DPA) and their desire for the unincorporated areas of Volusia County located within the DPA to be excluded from the installation of bus bench

08/2011	Tindale-Oliver, under contract with FDOT, conducts workshops across the state titled "Statewide Transit Accessibility & Facilities Design". Votran staff attended a number of these workshops. Following the workshops, Votran staff met with Tindale-Oliver for clarification on the discrepancies described above. As a result of this meeting it was decided that Votran needed to hold off on issuing the RFP until Tindale-Oliver could finalize the FDOT document "Accessing Transit – Design Handbook for Florida Bus Passenger Facilities" document. It was also decided that Votran would pursue the development of a Votran-specific handbook that could be used as an attachment to our RFP to outline the design standards required at bus passenger facilities.
10/2011	FDOT document "Accessing Transit - Design Handbook for Florida Bus Passenger Facilities" update Phase I was started by Tindale-Oliver. This document is updated every four years.
06/2012	Accessing Transit-Design Handbook for Florida Bus Passenger Facilities update Phase I complete. Currently- Phase II of Accessing Transit-Design Handbook is underway but not yet complete.
8/2012	Votran issues task order assignment to Tindale-Oliver to create document titled "Votran Technical Design Standards for Accessible Bus Stops".
10/10/2012	Votran Bus Bench Advertising Meeting – Dave Byron requested meeting which included Dave Byron, Jim Dorsten, Jeaniene Jennings, Steven Sherrer, Tura Schnebly
10/31/2012	Bus Benches meeting — Dave Byron requested meeting which included: Dave Byron, Jim Dorsten, Daniel Eckert, Tura Schnebly, Charlene Weaver, Steven Sherrer
12/11/2012	Bus Benches meeting – requested by legal which included: Dave Byron, Jim Dorsten, Daniel Eckert, Tura Schnebly, Steven Sherrer
02/06/2013	Votran and Volusia County are in the process of developing a solicitation for bus bench advertising in accordance with prior directives. Tindale-Oliver recently completed the document titled "Votran Technical Design Standards for Accessible Bus Stops" and their recommendations regarding ADA-compliant construction specifications and guidelines for new benches; however, the County is currently in the process of selecting another consultant to advise and develop the remainder of the solicitation.
	Law enforcement recently (Thursday, January 31, 2013) raided Waverly Media, LLC's Port Orange office, presumably seeking additional evidence in the fraud

	investigation of Ramara Garrett, formerly of Waverly Property Group, LLC, as well as other persons.
	Waverly continues to maintain benches and place advertising in the unincorporated portions of the County despite the County's notice of termination sent on December 1, 2008. No further action has been taken with regard to Waverly; however, Votran staff has continued to receive regular checks from Waverly for advertising revenues associated with bus bench signage.
	Given the foregoing history, staff requests direction from management as to how to proceed.
2/25/13	Votran submits RSQ (request for statements of qualifications) for an engineering firm to survey and analyze existing bus bench locations in unincorporated areas of the County in order to create construction documents to be used to improve existing stops to ADA standards. Construction documents will be used as an attachment to an invitation to bid for concrete work at bus bench locations.
03/04/2013	Waverly Contract meeting – meeting requested by County Manager's office and included: Dave Byron, J. Giffin Chumley, Mary Connors, Jim Dinneen, Jeaniene Jennings, Steven Sherrer. Staff directed by County Manager to put out
03/15/2013	a solicitation for Bus Bench Advertising for a period of one year.  Purchasing posts RSQ 13-SQ-77JD for surveying and design of existing bus stops in unincorporated Volusia County.
3/19/2013	RFP 13-P-82IF posted for Bus Bench Advertising for a one year period.
04/04/2013	RFP 13-P-82IF cancelled due to pending legislation related to this RFP and questions from vendors, these included:
	<ul> <li>ADA requirements not mentioned but per FDOT.</li> <li>Short contract time, vendor could not recoup cost if they were required to provide the benches and comply with ADA requirements.</li> <li>How the pending RSQ for engineering would affect this contract.</li> <li>RSQ 13-SQ-77JD also put on hold as result of pending legislation.</li> </ul>
04/04/2013	County Council discussion on pending legislative changes to bus bench advertising.
04/21/2013	Pending legislative changes do not make it through session.
05/22/2013	Request for statements of qualification released for engineering work and construction documents for ADA improvements at bus bench locations.
6/2013	Final document titled "Accessing Transit - Design Handbook for Florida Bus Passenger Facilities" completed by Tindale-Oliver for FDOT.
06/27/2013	RSQ 13-SQ-77JD closed. One response received.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# Bus Bench Advertising in Volusia County - WORKING DRAFT

7/10/2013	DCO 12 CO 77TD11'
//10/2013	RSQ 13-SQ-77JD evaluation committee met to discuss RSQ respondent. Committee decided to send RSQ back out with revised scope of work after only one response was received. The scope was determined to be too narrow thus limiting the number of respondents that could complete the work within the stated budget of \$95,000.
07/30/13	Request for statements of qualification for engineering work re-released with revised scope of work. RSQ 13-SQ-151 JD
8/29/13	RSQ 13-SQ-151 JD Closed with three responses received.
9/18/13	Evaluation committee met to rank the three responses.
10/3/13	Three presentations were heard by the evaluation committee. The evaluation committee selected Lassiter Transportation Group. Recommendation to council for award will occur 10/24/13.
10/10/13	Volusia County Council was provided with options for consideration and direction in moving forward with the passenger amenities program in unincorporated Volusia County. Council directed staff to give Waverly Media 60-days notice to remove all of their bus benches located in unincorporated Volusia County. It was Mr. Dinneen's recommendation that Votran replace Waverly benches with temporary benches that, once replaced with a permanent
	bench, could be used along the Volusia County trails network. In the meantime, staff would work towards a permanent solution that would include procurement of permanent benches and completing ADA improvements at bus bench locations. Council did not direct Votran or county staff to replace the benches once removed by Waverly.
10/24/13	Council will consider award of engineering work to Lassiter Transportation Group. If approved, Lassiter will be tasked with creating construction documents that will be used as guidelines for the bidding of concrete work to be performed at bus bench locations in unincorporated Volusia County.

Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	5/26/2010
None	Mr. Fischer	3/23/2010
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	2/24/2010
None	Mr. Fischer	1/27/2010
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	12/9/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	11/4/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	10/8/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	8/31/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	8/5/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	7/9/2009
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	6/3/2009
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	5/20/2009
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	4/6/2009
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	3/2/2009
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	2/4/2009
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	12/1/2008
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	11/17/2008
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	10/8/2008
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	8/1/2008
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	7/9/2008
Streetside Amenities	Ms. Bollenback	6/6/2008
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	4/30/2007
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	3/7/2008
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	2/8/2008
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	12/31/2007
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	11/8/2007
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	10/3/2007
Bus Bench Advertising	Ms. Bollenback	9/5/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	8/6/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	7/9/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	6/11/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	5/14/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	4/9/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	3/12/2007
None	Ms. Bollenback	2/12/2007
ltem	Manager	Date

Comparison of purchasing benches with Waverly contract

Letter terminating Waverly services

Attachment

Draft interlocal agreement

Provided outline of amenities cost

Indicated draft RFP for benches with advertising is ready - Khin inducted an the for the restriction is ready - Khin inducted an the for the restriction is ready - Khin inducted an the formal for the formal forma

Indicated meeting with Waverly

Draft RFP attached Indicated that Mr. Wagner asked for draft RFP

EXHIBIT

Bus Stop Improvements	Mr. Fischer	5/8/2012
Bus Stop Improvements	Mr. Fischer	4/10/2012
Bus Stop Improvements	Mr. Fischer	3/12/2012
None	Mr. Fischer	2/14/2012
None	Mr. Fischer	1/9/2012
None	Mr. Fischer	2/13/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	11/8/2011
None	Mr. Fischer	0/19/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	9/15/2011
None	Mr. Fischer	8/23/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	//9/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	6/9/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	5/10/2011
Passenger Amenities	Mr. Fischer	4/14/2011
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	3/9/2011
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	2/8/2011
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	1/11/2011
su eetside Amenities	1871 - 1861 G	
	Mr Eischer	12/3/2010
Streetside Amenities	Mr. Fischer	10/27/2010
Meeting with Waverly	Mr. Fischer	9/22/2010
None	Mr. Fischer	8/25/2010
None	Mr. Fischer	7/28/2010

Indicated meeting with Waverly

First presentation on ADA requirements at bus stops attached.

Note - This initial interpretation was that a concrete landing pad would be required at every bus stop.

Directed to schedule one on one meetings with Councilmembers to update the on status.

Meetings with Councilmembers scheduled, draft talking points attached Based on meetings with Councilmembers revised outline of streetside amenities program attached. Also attached FDOT's Final Report on Bus Stop Standards, Criteria and Guidelines.

Attached letter from Creative Outdoor Advertising, company interested in providing benches.

Attached updated outline of ADA requirements at bus stops

Attached updated outline of ADA requirements that show an interpretation of compliant and non compliant stops

Attached revised updated outline of ADA requirements that show an interpretation of compliant and non compliant stops

Indicated that Votran will be meeting with Legal Department to move forward with an RFP for benches with advertising in the unincorporated areas of the county.

Attachment outlined next steps, questions for Legal Department and County Manager's Office

Met with Legal Department, they advised additional policy direction is required.